

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM

1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-2107

(202) 371-7000

FAX: (202) 393-5760

DIRECT DIAL
(202) 371-7443

RECEIVED

FEB 3 1995

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

BOSTON
CHICAGO
LOS ANGELES
NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO
WILMINGTON

BEIJING
BRUSSELS
BUDAPEST
FRANKFURT
HONG KONG
LONDON
MOSCOW
PARIS
PRAGUE
SYDNEY
TOKYO
TORONTO

February 3, 1995

Regina M. Keeney
Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re: Request for Confidential Information in PR Docket
No. 94-105

Dear Ms. Keeney:

On behalf of Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Company, we hereby request that the Commission provide us with a copy of the Confidential Information filed by the State of California in PR Docket No. 94-105. Enclosed please find a copy of the protective order associated with this proceeding and the signed declarations of the following counsel to Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Company: Dave M. Wilson of Young, Vogl, Harlick and Wilson, and Jay L. Birnbaum and Katherine T. Wallace, of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher and Flom.

If you have any questions regarding the protective order or declarations, please contact me at the number above.

Sincerely,

Katherine T. Wallace

Katherine T. Wallace
Counsel for Los Angeles Cellular
Telephone Company

cc: Julia Kogan
Michael Wack
Stan Wiggins

No. of Copies rec'd _____
LSLAB CODE _____

021

RECEIVED

FEB - 3 1995

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY**

PROTECTIVE ORDER, PR DOCKET NO. 94-105

1. For purposes of PR Docket No. 94-105 and this Order, "Confidential Information" shall mean and include trade secrets and commercial or financial information which is privileged or confidential within the meaning of Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4), as well as the following:

- a. Market share data as contained in pages 29 to 34 of the unredacted Petition of the People of the State of California and the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California To Retain State Regulatory Authority Over Intrastate Cellular Service Rates (Petition) and Appendix E thereto. The data on page 29 is disaggregated by carrier, and on pages 30-34, aggregated by market. Some data on page 30 is further aggregated by combining data in two markets. The data in Appendix E is aggregated as to resellers by market, and disaggregated for cellular carriers.
- b. Capacity utilization figures as contained in pages 50-53 of the unredacted Petition, and in Appendix M. This data is aggregated for the Los Angeles market on page 51 and Appendix M-1, and disaggregated as to specific carriers on pages 52-53 of the Petition and Pages M-1 to M-3 of Appendix M.
- c. Disaggregated, carrier-specific data regarding the number of customers per year, per rate plan, both wholesale and retail, as contained in Appendix J to the Petition, with the exception of the numbers of customers on each carrier's specific discount plans, which shall not be disclosed.
- d. Only for carriers other than those that we refer to as the six acceding carriers, annualized per-subscriber financial data, including revenues, operating expenses, expenditures for plant, operating income, and subscriber growth percentages for 1989-93, found in Appendix H to the Petition.

2. Confidential Information submitted herein by the State of California and the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (California) shall be segregated from all material filed and deemed non-confidential as generally set forth in the pleadings filed publicly by California on August 8, 1994, and subsequent revisions filed on February 2,

1995, in PR Docket No. 94-105 (Proceeding). Confidential Information, thus segregated, shall consist of materials specified by the Commission in its Order, DA 94-111 (Jan. 25, 1995) or any subsequent actions in these proceedings.

3. Confidential Information may be disclosed, directly or indirectly, only to:

- a. Counsel for the Parties to this Proceeding (Parties) and their associated attorneys, paralegals, clerical staff and other employees, to the extent reasonably necessary to render professional services in this proceeding, provided that such persons are not representing or advising or otherwise assisting providers of California commercial mobile radio services in devising marketing plans to compete against California carriers that are Parties to this Proceeding;
- b. Specified persons, including employee of the Parties, requested by counsel to furnish technical or other expert advice or service, or otherwise engaged to prepare material for the express purpose of formulating filings in connection with PR Docket No. 94-105, excluding those persons who are directly or indirectly involved in or have direct or indirect supervisory responsibilities over the development of marketing plans for California commercial mobile radio services providers to compete against California cellular carriers that are parties to this Proceeding; or
- c. Any person designated by the Commission in the public interest, upon such terms as the Commission may deem proper.

4. Counsel may request that the Commission provide one copy of Confidential Information (for which counsel must, as a prerequisite, acknowledge receipt pursuant to this Order), and counsel may thereafter make no more than two additional copies but only to the extent required and solely for the preparation and use in this proceeding, and provided further, that all copies of the Confidential Information shall remain in the care and control of counsel at all times and shall not pass to any other persons. Following the filing of Further Comments on February 9, 1995, counsel shall retain custody of the Confidential Information until such time as it is necessary to prepare additional filings in connection with PR Docket No. 94-105 in the discretion of counsel. If such additional filings are necessary, counsel shall retain custody of the Confidential Information following submission of such additional filings. Counsel shall return to the Commission within forty-eight hours after the

final resolution of PR Docket No. 94-105 all Confidential Information originally provided by the Commission as well as all copies made, and shall certify that no material whatsoever derived from such Confidential Information has been retained by any person having access thereto, except that counsel may retain copies of pleadings submitted on behalf of clients.

5. Confidential Information shall not be used by any person granted access under the Order for any purpose other than for use in this proceeding (including any subsequent administrative or judicial review), and shall not be used for competitive business purposes or otherwise disclosed by such persons to any other person except in accordance with this Order. This shall not preclude the use of any material or information that is in the public domain or has been developed independently by any other person who has not had access to the Confidential Information nor otherwise learned of its contents.

6. a. Before obtaining access to Confidential Information, counsel must apply for access to such materials by executing the attached Declaration.

b. Counsel may disclose Confidential Information to persons to whom disclosure is permitted under the terms of paragraph 3 of this Order only after advising such persons of the terms and obligations of this Order and obtaining such person's written consent to be bound by its terms, except that written consent need not be obtained from paralegals and clerical staff.

c. Counsel shall provide to the FCC and, in the absence of a need for confidentiality, to California and/or the other Parties, the name and affiliation of each person other than counsel to whom disclosure is made or to whom actual physical control over the documents is provided, by the deadline prescribed by the Commission for filing comments on the Confidential Information, or within three (3) business days after the disclosure if such disclosure occurs after the deadline for filing such comments. To the extent that any name is not disclosed to California, that fact shall be disclosed to the FCC and California.

7. Parties may, in any pleadings that they file in this proceeding, reference the Confidential Information, but only if they comply with the following procedures:

a. Any portions of the pleadings that contain or disclose Confidential Information must be physically segregated from the remainder of the pleadings;

b. The portions containing or disclosing confidential Information must be covered by a separate letter referencing this Protective Order; and

c. Each page of any Party's filing that contains or discloses Confidential Information subject to the Order must be clearly marked: "Confidential information included pursuant to Protective Order, PR Docket No., 94-105."


d. The confidential portion(s) of the pleading shall be served upon the Secretary of the Commission and California, and one and only one copy shall be served upon those individuals who have signed the attached Declaration, and such confidential portions shall be served under seal, and shall not be placed in the Commission Public File, unless the Commission directs otherwise (with notice to the Party that filed the pleading and an opportunity to comment on such proposed disclosure). The Parties shall provide courtesy copies to the Lead Advisor to the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Chief and to the Chief, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, who will ensure that copies are distributed to the appropriate Commission personnel.

8. Disclosure of materials described herein shall not be deemed a waiver by California or any other Party in any other proceeding, judicial or otherwise, of any privilege or entitlement to confidential treatment of such Confidential Information. Inspecting parties, by viewing said documents: (a) agree not to assert any such waiver; (b) agree not to use information derived from any confidential materials to seek disclosure in any other proceedings; and (c) agree that accidental disclosure or privileged information shall be not deemed a waiver of the privilege.

9. The entry of this Order is without prejudice to the rights of California to apply for additional or different protection where it is deemed necessary or to the rights of the Parties to request further or renewed disclosure of Confidential Information. Moreover, it in no way precludes the Commission from disclosing any information where the public interest so requires.

DECLARATION**PR DOCKET [94- 105]**

I, DAVID M. WILSON, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the Protective Order that has been entered by the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau in Federal Communications Commission proceeding PR Docket No. 94-[105], and that I agree that I will be bound by its terms pertaining to the confidentiality of Confidential Information and that I am not involved in devising marketing plans for any of the Parties.




David M. Wilson
Counsel for Los Angeles Celular
Telephone Company

DECLARATION

PR DOCKET 94-105

I, Katherine T. Wallace, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the Protective Order that has been entered by the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau in Federal Communications Commission proceeding PR Docket No. 94-105, and that I agree that I will be bound by its terms pertaining to the confidentiality of Confidential Information and that I am not involved in devising marketing plans for any of the Parties.


A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Katherine T. Wallace", followed by a horizontal line.

Katherine T. Wallace
Counsel for Los Angeles Cellular
Telephone Company

DECLARATION

PR DOCKET [94-105]

I, Jay L. Birnbaum, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the Protective Order that has been entered by the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau in Federal Communications Commission proceeding PR Docket No. 94-[105], and that I agree that I will be bound by its terms pertaining to the confidentiality of Confidential Information and that I am not involved in devising marketing plans for any of the Parties.



Jay L. Birnbaum
Counsel for Los Angeles Cellular
Telephone Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Katherine T. Wallace, do hereby certify that true copies of the foregoing "Request for Confidential Information," "Protective Order, PR Docket No. 94-105" and "Declaration PR Docket No. 94-105" were sent this day, February 3, 1995, by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Peter Arth, Jr., Esquire
Edward W. O'Neill, Esquire
Ellen S. LeVine, Esquire
State of California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, California 94102
Attorneys for the People of the State of California and the
Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Joel H. Levy
William B. Wilhelm, Jr.
Cohn and Marks
Suite 600
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for National Cellular Resellers Association

John Cimko, Chief
Mobile Services Division
Federal Communications Commission
Room 644; Mail Stop 1600D
1919 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20054

David A. Gross, Esquire
Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Esquire
AirTouch Communications
1818 N Street, N.W.
8th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Attorneys for AirTouch Communications

Mary B. Cranston, Esquire
Megan Waters Pierson, Esquire
Joseph A. Hearst, Esquire
Pillsbury Madison & Sutro
P.O. Box 7880
San Francisco, California 94120-7880
Attorneys for AirTouch Communications

Alan R. Shark, President
American Mobile Telecommunications Association, Inc.
1150 18th Street, N.W.
Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036

Elizabeth R. Sachs, Esquire
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez
1111 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorney for American Mobile Telecommunications
Association, Inc.

David A. Simpson, Esquire
Young, Vogl, Harlick & Wilson
425 California Street
Suite 2500
San Francisco, California 94101
Attorney for Bakersfield Cellular Telephone Company

Adam A. Anderson, Esquire
Suzanne Toller, Esquire
Bay Area Cellular Telephone Company
651 Gateway Boulevard
Suite 1500
San Francisco, California 94080

Richard Hansen, Chairman
Cellular Agents Trade Association
11268 Washington Blvd.
Suite 201
Culver City, California 90230

Michael B. Day, Esquire
Jeanne M. Bennett, Esquire
Michael J. Thompson, Esquire
Jerome F. Candelaria, Esquire
Wright & Talisman, P.C.
100 Bush Street, Shell Building
Suite 225
San Francisco, California 94104
Attorneys for Cellular Carriers Association of California

Michael F. Altschul, Esquire
Randall S. Coleman, Esquire
Andrea D. Williams, Esquire
Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association
1250 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20036

Mark Gascoigne
Dennis Shelley
Information Technology Service
Internal Services Department
County of Los Angeles
9150 East Imperial Highway
Downey, California 90242
Attorneys for County of Los Angeles

Russell H. Fox, Esquire
Susan H.R. Jones, Esquire
Gardner, Carton & Douglas
1301 K Street, N.W.
Suite 900, East Tower
Washington, D.C. 20005
Attorneys for E.F. Johnson Company

David M. Wilson, Esquire
Young, Vogl, Harlick & Wilson
425 California Street
Suite 2500
San Francisco, California 94104
Attorney for Los Angeles Cellular Telephone Company

Scott K. Morris
Vice President of External Affairs
McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.
5400 Carillon Point
Kirkland, Washington 98033

Howard J. Symons, Esquire
James A. Kirkland, Esquire
Cherie R. Kiser, Esquire
Kecia Boney, Esquire
Tara M. Corvo, Esquire
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
Suite 900
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Attorneys for McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.

James M. Tobin, Esquire
Mary E. Wand, Esquire
Morrison & Foerster
345 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94104-2576
Attorneys for McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.

Thomas Gutierrez, Esquire
J. Justin McClure, Esquire
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered
1111 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for Mobile Telecommunications
Technologies Corp.

Jeffrey S. Bork, Esquire
Laurie Bennett, Esquire
U.S. West Cellular of California, Inc.
1801 California Street
Suite 5100
Denver, Colorado 80202

Leonard J. Kennedy
Laura H. Phillips
Richard S. Denning
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037
Attorneys for Nextel Communications, Inc.

Mark J. Golden, Acting President
Personal Communications Industry
Association
1019 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael Shames, Esquire
1717 Kettner Blvd.
Suite 105
San Diego, California 92101
Attorney for Utility Consumer's Action Network and
Towards Utility Rate Normalization


Peter A. Casciato
A Professional Corporation
Suite 701
8 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Lewis J. Paper
Keck, Mahin & Cate
1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Attorneys for Cellular Resellers Association, Inc.,
Cellular Service, Inc., and Comtech, Inc.

Judith St. Ledger-Roty, Esquire
James J. Freeman, Esquire
Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay
1200 18th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
Attorneys for Paging Network, Inc.

William J. Sills, Esquire
Christine M. Crowe, Esquire
McFadden, Evans & Sill
1627 Eye Street, N.W.
Suite 810
Washington, D.C. 20006
Attorneys for GTE Services Corporation on behalf of ITS
Telephone and Personal Communications Companies

Richard McKenna
GTE Services Corporation
600 Hidden Ridge
HQ EO3J36
Irving, Texas 75015-6362


Katherine T. Wallace